



Technical and non-technical comprehension of knowledge management systems

Krishn Kumar Pardhe, Sunil Agrawal

Faculty of Management and Commerce, Madhyanchal Professional University, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

Abstract

In order to be successful, the adoption of systems to manage an organization's knowledge requires both technical and non-technical support. People, procedures, and technology are all integrated into knowledge management systems. Organizational activities and processes are altered as a result of these systems. The study looked at knowledge management systems from two different angles (technical and non-technical), focusing on the technologies that drive knowledge production, processing, sharing, and storage. The study focuses on the interaction of people, processes, and that support KM from a non-technological standpoint. This paved the door for a debate of different points of view or processes for KM system setup and implementation in businesses. The research offered certain guidelines and methodology for implementing KM practices in businesses.

Keywords: knowledge, knowledge management, knowledge management systems, knowledge management implementation

Introduction

Knowledge is a complex combination of dynamic skills and know-how that must be managed as an organizational asset, process, and object, whether tacit or explicit. This necessitates a significant amount of human work as well as the use of technology to aid knowledge processing, extraction, sharing, and storage.

The identification, sharing, and generation of knowledge are all part of knowledge management. This necessitates the development and maintenance of knowledge management systems, as well as the facilitation of knowledge sharing. To manage knowledge, personal knowledge, know-how, and tacit knowledge must be transformed into corporate and explicit knowledge. This explicit and corporate knowledge can then be shared and used within the organization, among its employees, and beyond.

As a result, knowledge management (KM) implies a link between knowledge as an organizational asset/resource, management functions, and the organization's goals, objectives, and strategies. It is concerned with an organization's knowledge assets being developed in order to achieve the organization's goals.

KM can be defined as the process of managing information and knowledge, as well as their application in organizations. To improve organizational performance and competitive advantage, knowledge must be identified, gathered, processed, structured, utilized, and shared as an organizational asset. This means that corporations see knowledge as a valuable asset and resource for staying competitive.

Planning, commencement, development, and integration are all common steps in the adoption of KM systems in enterprises. Assessing the knowledge required in the organization; the degree of knowledge creation, retention, and sharing; obtaining support from the organization's leadership; designing integrated systems for KM tools and technologies; designing incentives for the use of knowledge; measuring the impact; and promoting and advertising the KM success are among the seven steps identified by Motlhakk when deploying KM in organizations.

This is a conceptual study aimed at analyzing and evaluating literature on the subject. The research make use of a variety of concepts, literature streams, and theories to suggest new linkages and theories. As a result, this research is entirely qualitative and based on desk research. It examines both manual and electronic sources for research reports, books, journals, and articles. The goal of this study was to evaluate the diverse perspectives on knowledge management (KM), KM systems, and organizational implementation strategies.

Knowledge Management System

Many companies are using knowledge management systems as a strategic asset and a competitive advantage. These systems can be technologically based, emphasizing the technologies or tools that help with knowledge production, processing, storage, sharing, and usage, or nontechnologically based, emphasizing the processes, culture, and people components that help organizations implement KM techniques.

The people components are concerned with the process of producing, processing, sharing, storing, and using knowledge in organizations, whereas the processes are concerned with the process of creating, processing, sharing, storing, and using knowledge in organizations. The culture component, once again, aims to address the numerous protocols, rules, conventions, and traditions that influence the development, sharing, storage, and use of information within and outside organizations.

Knowledge Management from Non-Technocentric Perspectives

Organizations strive to gain a competitive advantage by utilising knowledge. As a result, firms must install systems to manage knowledge and position themselves to attain and gain competitive advantage. The use of knowledge management systems in businesses aims to overcome two difficulties that organisations seeking a competitive advantage face. The first is to enable the organisation to operate more effectively with all of the knowledge created and used inside it by establishing methods for controlling

knowledge sources in order to prevent knowledge from being lost. The second goal is to assist businesses in applying knowledge to business questions in order to speed up decision-making. As a result, businesses are better equipped to gather, generate, and apply knowledge for better decision-making and competitive advantage.

From the non-technocentric point of view, KM can likewise be believed to comprise of two essential components in particular strategic and procedure. Chiefs in the associations should zero in on connecting these components to KM processes in the organization. The accentuation ought to again be on the utilization and improvement of information based resources of the associations. Likewise, information as a complex versatile framework requires adaptability in its administration to work with criticism (Oliva, Couto, Santos, and Bresciani, 2019). As a complicated framework, it contains various sources, structures, and qualities (Grain, Treem, and Kuhn, 2018) ^[30] in a synergetic association of useful trade and worth creation. Moreover, information includes cooperative and advantageous social (Chedid, Carvalho, and Teixeira, 2020) ^[12], social, qualities, conviction, and work process that shapes the creation handling, sharing, and use of information in associations (Dei, 2017) ^[41] KM can likewise be talked about with regards to business schooling (Pour and Asarian, 2019) ^[23]. KM in this way addresses the center work associations like schools (Dei, 2017; Brito, Pais, Santos, and Fernandes, 2017) ^[41, 37]. These associations comprise of researchers, experts, mentors, learnings, and information advertisers who are engaged with the creation, translation, scrutinizing, use, and dissemination of information (Brito et al., 2017) ^[17].

The non-technocentric point of view center around how people and associations can be prepared to plan and work with KM processes (Dei, 2017) ^[41]. The components here incorporate narrating; cross-useful undertaking group and task experts running after a shared objective (Ice, 2014); preparing (creating HR and granting abilities and expertise); schooling (moving expertise, abilities, worth, convictions, and standards to gatherings or people through educating, preparing, narrating, research, etc.(Frost, 2014; Youthful, 2010); gatherings, narrating (Dei, 2017) ^[41]; Networks of Training (Nonaka, 2005; Dei, 2020) ^[13]; intuitive meetings (Ice, 2014); and conceptualizing and conversation discussions (Ice, 2014; Youthful, 2010).

According to the mechanical point of view, KM frameworks allude to any sort of data innovation (IT) apparatus or framework (Sanzogni, 2017; Qdah and Salim, 2013; Hajric, 2018) ^[34] that stores and recovers information, further develops cooperation, finds information sources (Ganguly et al., 2019), mines archives for buried information, catches and uses information, or in some alternate way upgrades the KM cycle (Al Mansoori, 2021; Hajric, 2018) ^[6, 34]. These advances or frameworks uphold and work on the administration of information (Owusu-Manu et al., 2019), including cycles like information creation, stockpiling, recovery, move, and application (Anderton and Watson, 2018). They likewise process and produce esteem from their scholarly and information based resources (Ice, 2018).

KM frameworks are engrained in IT frameworks, data frameworks (Sanzogni, Guzman and Busch, 2017; Al Mansoori et al., 2021) ^[6], information science, information mining, and information warehousing (Bhatia, 2019; Sharma and Mehta, 2012). All in all, KM frameworks are storehouses of information from an assortment of specialists

(Hoaihongthong, 2021) ^[9], coordinated in such a way that they can be gotten to effectively (Anduvare, 2015). Creating esteem from such resources includes dividing them between workers, offices, and even with different associations with an end goal to devise best practices (Dei, 2017) ^[41].

The innovation based apparatuses incorporate computerized reasoning (Sanzogni et al., 2017; Al Mansoori, 2021) ^[6], content administration, cooperation, content creation, variation, individual devices, organizing, choice emotionally supportive networks, master frameworks (Hajric, 2018; Hecht et al., 2011) ^[34], information warehousing, information mining (Bhatia, 2019), e-learning frameworks (Kalyanaraman, Anuncia, and Balasubramanian, 2018; Dei, 2017) ^[41], correspondence, groupware frameworks (Sharma and Deb, 2019), KM 2.0 (Orenga-Roglá and Chalmeta, 2019), the intranet and extranet (Mishra, 2018) ^[36], choice emotionally supportive networks, content administration frameworks (Laudon and Laudon, 2015; Hajric, 2018; Alosaimi, 2018) ^[34], record the executives frameworks, reenactment apparatuses, semantic organizations (Hajric, 2018) ^[34], entrances, profile, communitarian devices (Cerchione and Esposito, 2017) ^[39], critical solicitations, report libraries, servers, information bases, information bases, writes, and progressed search instruments (Youthful, 2010).

Knowledge Administration Framework Execution Methods

The execution of frameworks to deal with the information on associations needs strong specialized and non-specialized help in other to be fruitful (Ice, 2018, Dei, 2017; 2019) ^[41]. KM frameworks coordinate individuals, cycles, and innovation (Al Mansoori et al., 2021) ^[6]. These frameworks bring about changes in the tasks and cycles in associations (Ice, 2018; Rao, 2014). All things considered, a few associations are as yet wrestling with issues in regards to the sending frameworks to deal with the information on associations and the commonsense methodology to carry out them.

Professionals and specialists of KM have attempted to foster components and conventions toward carrying out KM practices, ideas, and strategies. These conventions are helped by admittance to KM apparatuses by representatives, an easy to understand working climate, networks of training, cooperation and joint efforts, and sufficiency of capital (Rao, 2014).

Alosaimi (2018) and Oliveira, Caldeira, and Romao (2012) demonstrate that the methods incorporate arranging, commencement, advancement, and joining. Motlhakk (2015) tracked down seven stages to direct the arrangement of KM frameworks in associations which incorporate surveying the necessary information for the association; assessing the degree of information sharing and maintenance in the association; getting senior administration support; planning coordinated arrangement of KM devices and innovations; planning impetuses for the utilization of information; estimating the effect; and advancing and promoting the KM achievement.

Alosaimi (2018) and Dataware Innovations (1999) likewise layout a seven-venture strategy toward the sending of KM frameworks in associations. These incorporate recognizing the issue of the association; planning for change, making a KM group, performing information reviews characterizing key components and arrangements; carrying out KM

building blocks; and connecting information to individuals. This likewise presents specialized results and capacities that take into account a bit by bit execution of the KM building blocks referenced in the 6th stage. These results are:

1. Accessing the current information storehouses to acquire profit from speculation (RIO) from the current assets of the association
2. Implement straightforward information digging for effective admittance to information
3. Automatically classify to manage new information
4. Build a knowledgebase and store to make information accessible and open
5. enable the end-user(s) add to the KM cycle to build information stream and use
6. For viable order of information, grow the utilization of metadata and scientific categorizations
7. Locate the KM specialists and make an information index in the association

In light of the basic achievement variables to the effective execution of KM frameworks propounded by Chong and Choi (2005) gave eleven huge fundamental bits of knowledge to a fruitful execution of KM frameworks. This depended on the KM empowering agents propounded by Chong and Choi (2005). These are preparing workers; including representatives all the while; set up an open and dependable soul of cooperation; enable representatives; initiative obligation to the execution and use of the framework; plan a data frameworks foundation; measure execution; foster an information amicable culture; set up benchmarks; foster an information structure; and dispose of authoritative imperatives.

Paguio, Fasal, and Gonzales (2016) and Hecht, Maier, Seeber and Waldhart (2011) then again battle that there are three primary stages associated with the fruitful execution of KM frameworks in associations. These incorporate

1. Adoption,
2. Acceptance
3. Assimilation.

The reception stage is affected by advancement attributes (Al-Emran, Mezhujev, Kamaludin and Shaalan, 2018), fit for reason, anticipated outcomes, and correspondence attributes (Ice, 2018; Hecht et al, 2011); social qualities (Kayas and Wright, 2018), data quality, authoritative suitability (Uden, Oshee, Chime and Liberona, 2019), and frameworks quality (Hecht et al, 2011). It comprises of inside examination of the firm; assessment of the necessities (data and information) (Paguio et al, 2016; Hecht et al, 2011); leading a money saving advantage investigation (CBA) of the association by adjusting it to the requirements and prerequisite of the association (Cahyaningsiha, Sensusea, and Noprisson, 2017)^[38]; and evaluate the current condition and work profile of the association and decide how the framework fit into the overall target of the association and how it will either improve or obstruct the accomplishment of the framework. take on the guideline, the more unsaid the information, the less innovative the necessary arrangement (Alosaimi, 2018). For example, knowhows and talented information is frequently upheld by IT or correspondence frameworks and by master locaters (Hecht et. al. 2011).

The acknowledgment stage is the place where the user(s) of the framework chooses to acknowledge and utilize the KM

framework in the association (Alatawi, Dwivedi, Williams and Rana, 2012). Hecht et al. (2011), ordered the acknowledgment of the KM frameworks as occupation fit, convenience, tension, results verifiability, work fit, inborn inspiration, and social variables. The acknowledgment of the framework can be worked with by including the clients in the turn of events, plan, and utilization of the KM whenever the situation allows; including the client in the evaluation of the framework when proper; making the framework more easy to understand and as natural as could really be expected; supporting different perspectives of the information put away in the framework; giving OK innovative and regulatory help; and utilizing KM champions to advance the acknowledgment of the recently conveyed framework all through the association (Ice, 2018).

The last stage, digestion, shows the business exercises and the sharing of KM arrangements in the association (Hecht, Maier, Seeber and Waldhart, 2011). Hecht et al. (2011) completely illustrated the KM frameworks osmosis as information obstruction, KM champions, authority support, advancing joint effort, process quality, and interaction cost. The osmosis of KM frameworks in the association can be improved by dealing with the substance of the KM framework through the consistent update, correction, separating, association, and so forth (Bet and Blackwell, 2011); the executives seeing the upside of the framework, utilizing it, supporting, and persuading others to utilize it and advantage from the benefit (Hecht et. al. 2011); appropriately planning for the framework by arranging the costs and execution of the KM framework that is cost-productive; and embracing financially savvy community instruments, for example, endeavor 2.0/KM 2.0 frameworks (Ice, 2018). Hecht et al (2011) at long last demonstrated that these variables normally dos not really apply to all KM frameworks sending or execution. They place that some KM frameworks are generally immediate and direct with regards to their sending and need not follow these stages.

Moreover, Aldabaldetrek, Lautiainen and Minkova (2016), Ice (2018), Lingham (2010), and Tiwana (2002) illustrated a 4-stage KM framework execution guide in associations. This is pulled together into a 10-venture guide. As indicated by Tiwana (2002), this is a rule for planning, planning, creating, and executing KM frameworks and drives in associations. The 10 stages and the stages in which they seem are as per the following:

1. Phase 1: Infrastructural assessment
 - a. Analyze the current KM framework
 - b. Align the KM processes with the business procedure of the association
2. Phase 2: KM framework examination, plan, and improvement
 - c. Design the design of the KM and coordinate them into the current KM framework
 - d. Audit the current information
 - e. Develop KM group
 - f. Create KM outline
 - g. Develop the KM framework
3. Phase 3: KM framework arrangement
 - h. Deploy results-driven gradual (RDI) approach
 1. Outline authority issues
4. Phase 4: Measurements for execution assessment
 - i. Assess the effect of return and execution

The 4-stage (10 stage guide) is embraced for this review.

The initial step calls for examining existing framework, then, at that point, recognizing substantial advances that can be taken to use and expand on KM framework. The examination and bookkeeping of the current foundation include fostering a comprehension of different parts that establish the KM frameworks (Al Mansoori et al., 2021) ^[6]. This is to recognize basic holes in the current framework and expand upon what as of now exists (Ice, 2018). The vital lies in precisely recognizing and fixing what will fill in as a piece of the KM framework and what will not (Sensuse, 2021). The utilizing of the current foundation (Claver-Cortés, Zaragoza-Sáez, Úbeda-García, Marco-Lajara, and García-Lillo, 2018) ^[1] in the intelligent, logical, hypothetical, and monetary methodology empowers a superior shot at producing more grounded the executives support for the KM (Rumetna, Lina, Pakpahan, Ferdinandus, Pormes, and Lopulalan, 2021) ^[5].

The second step in the guide works with the association between the KM and business techniques (Dayan, Heisig, and Matos, 2017) ^[40]. It calls for playing out a SWOT (qualities, shortcomings, openings, and dangers) investigation (Bawack, 2019) ^[14]; make information; make CBA (Money saving advantage Examination) (Rüzgar and Yazici, 2019) ^[24]; break down information holes and distinguish how KM can fill those holes (Sumbal, Tsui, and See-to, 2017). An equilibrium abuse, investigation, in the nick of time (JIT), and for good measure (JIC) examination is likewise directed (Maharaj, 2017).

The third step toward the arrangement of KM framework includes the determination of the infostructure and infrastructural parts (Lair Hertog and Bilderbeek, 2019) that establish the KM framework design (Almeida, de Vasconcelos, and Pestana, 2018) ^[27]; distinguishing interior and outside information source takes care of that should be incorporated (Bamel and Bamel, 2018) ^[29]; recognizing the components of the interface layer (customers, server, entryways, and the stage) (Gavrilović and Mishra, 2021) ^[8]; and settling on the cooperative stage and the shared knowledge layer (man-made brainpower, information distribution centers, hereditary calculations, neural organizations, master thinking frameworks, rule bases, and case-based thinking) (Bhatia, 2019; Sharma and Mehta, 2012).

The fourth step calls for inspecting and examining information by understanding the reason why an information review is required (Hoaihongthong, 2021) ^[9] and gathering the review group addressing different hierarchical units (Kashirskaya, Sitnov, Davlatzoda, and Vorozheykina, 2020) ^[2]. The group plays out a starter appraisal of information resources inside the association to distinguish those that are both basic and the most fragile (Lee, Howl, and Shek, 2021; Dei, 2017) ^[41].

In the fifth step on the KM guide, the KM group that will configuration, fabricate, carry out, and convey the association's KM framework are recognized (Lee et al., 2021; Dei, 2017) ^[21, 41]. In particular, this stage manages distinguishing and planning a compelling KM group (Alosaimi, 2016); recognizing the key partners both inside and outside the association (Lee et al., 2021; Dei, 2017) ^[21, 41]; distinguishing wellsprings of aptitude that are expected to effectively configuration, fabricate, and send the framework while adjusting the specialized and administrative prerequisites (Tiwana, 1999; Lee et al., 2021;); distinguishing the basic weak spots as far as

neglected necessities, control, the board purchase in, and end-client purchase in (Weasel and Ploder, 2009); constitution of KM groups (authoritatively, deliberately, and mechanically) (Obaide, 2004); and adjusting the specialized and administrative ability that shapes a piece of this group (Tiwana, 1999; Alosaimi, 2016).

The KM group distinguished in sync 5 expands upon a KM framework outline that gives a structure plan and steadily further developing a KM framework at the 6th stage. This progression coordinates work from all former advances with the goal that it comes full circle in a deliberately situated KM framework plan (Tiwana, 2002). In particular, this stage tweaks the subtleties of the layers of the KM engineering; plan the framework for significant degrees of interoperability with existing IT ventures; enhance for execution and adaptability (Clayton, 2021); position and extension the KM framework to a possible level where advantages surpass costs; settle on the form or-purchase choice and comprehend the compromises (Weasel and Ploder, 2009; Tiwana, 2002).

When the diagram for the KM framework has been made, it requires the following (stage 7) which includes the genuine assembling of a functioning framework. In this progression, the interface layer; access and validation layer; community sifting and insight layer; application layer; middleware and inheritance mix layer; and storehouse layer are created (Rat and Ploder, 2009; Tiwana, 2002).

The eighth step includes the arrangement of the framework with an outcomes driven steady (RDI) strategy (Obaide, 2004; Tiwana, 2002) This progression additionally includes the determination and execution of a pilot undertaking to go before the presentation of an undeniable KM. This phase of the KM framework should consider the real necessities of its clients (Dei, 2017); recognize and detach disappointment focuses in pilot projects; comprehend the KM framework life cycle, frameworks improvement life cycle (SDLC) direction, and its suggestions for KM framework organization; comprehend the extent of KM framework sending (Tiwana, 2002). The 10th step of the KM frameworks arrangement includes comprehend the job of a central information official (CKO) and choose the need to officially have a CKO by any means (Harlow, 2017). This choice further requires a comprehension of how a CKO identifies with the main data official (CIO), boss money official (CFO) (Dei, 2017; Tiwana, 2002), and CEO (President); understanding the empowering influences of the KM frameworks (Tiwana, 2002; Harlow, 2017). The last stage includes estimating business worth of KM (Latilla, Frattini, Petruzzelli, and Berner, 2018) ^[3]; estimating profit from information venture (RoKI), and record for both monetary and cutthroat effects of KM on the association (Tiwana, 2002); ascertain profit from speculation (return for money invested) for KM speculations (Muthueloo, Shanmugam, and Teoh, 2017); choose when to utilize benchmarking as a near information metric (Tiwana, 2002; Cave Teuling, 2017) ^[42]; assess KM return on initial capital investment utilizing the Fair Scorecard (BSC) technique; and select programming instruments for following complex measurements (Tiwana, 2002).

Conclusion

Information is a bunch of mind boggling exercises and a critical hierarchical asset, and like any authoritative asset, it can't convey business upper hand without a substantial

arrangement. Experts and analysts of KM have set up some particular conventions for the plan, sending, and execution of KM frameworks, ideas, methods, cycles, and practice. The review talked about the viewpoints of KM frameworks execution from the techno-driven; viewpoints with an accentuation on the innovations and, apparatuses, and frameworks that advance the KM processes (creation, handling, sharing, utilization, stockpiling, recovery) in associations; and from both the specialized and non-specialized viewpoint which try to convey both mechanical frameworks, individuals frameworks, and hierarchical frameworks to work with the KM processes in associations. The review set up certain conventions for carrying out KM rehearses in associations. A portion of the KM frameworks execution conventions and methodology in associations recognized in the writing and researchers fluctuate and include various stages which incorporate arranging, inception, improvement, and mix. Among the conversations is the seven-stage way to deal with KM frameworks execution comprising of surveying the necessary information for the association; assessing the degree of information sharing and maintenance in the association; acquiring senior administration support; planning an incorporated arrangement of KM instruments and advances; planning impetuses for the utilization of information; estimating the effect; and advancing and promoting the KM achievement.

Like this is another seven-venture approach which incorporates distinguishing the issue of the association; getting ready for change, making a KM group, performing information review characterizing key elements and arrangements; carrying out KM building blocks; and connecting information to individuals. The concentrate again gave eleven gigantic fundamental experiences to an effective execution of KM frameworks which incorporate preparing representatives; including workers simultaneously; build up an open and dependable soul of cooperation; enable workers; administration obligation to the execution and use of the framework; plan a data frameworks foundation; measure execution; foster an information well-disposed culture; set up benchmarks; foster an information structure; and take out authoritative requirements.

Finally, the four phases which are further grouped into 10 steps road map was discussed. The 10 step road map to KM systems implementation in organizations that seek to provide a guideline for strategizing, designing, developing, and implementing KM systems were also discussed. The 10-step road map adopted in this study sought to create a link between KM and business strategies. The roadmap serves as a practical guide towards the design, development, and deployment of KM systems that will help deliver practical business result. This adopted roadmap will enable organizations to build stronger and better KM systems. It is, therefore, necessary to understand the uniqueness of every business entity and organization and contextualize the roadmap since a straight and blanket deployment may not work for all organizations.

References

1. Claver-Cortés E, Zaragoza-Sáez P, Úbeda-García M, Marco-Lajara B, García-Lillo F. Strategic knowledge management in subsidiaries and MNC performance. The role of the relational context. *Journal of*

- knowledgemanagement, 2018.
2. Kashirskaya LV, Sitnov AA, Davlatzoda DA, Vorozheykina TM. Knowledgeaudit as a key tool for business research in the information society. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 2020;7(3):2299.
 3. Latilla VM, Frattini F, Petruzzelli AM, Berner M. Knowledge management, knowledge transfer and organizational performance in the arts and crafts industry: aliterature review. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 2018.
 4. Owusu-Manu DG, Edwards DJ, Pärn EA, Antwi-Afari MF, Aigbavboa C. The knowledge enablers of knowledge transfer: a study in the construction industries in Ghana. *Journal of Engineering, Design and Technology*, 2018.
 5. Rumetna MS, Lina TN, Pakpahan RR, Ferdinandus Y, Pormes FS, Lopulalan JE et al. Implementing Knowledge Management System to Improve Effectiveness of Faculty Activities, 2021.
 6. Al Mansoori S, Salloum SA, Shaalan K. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Information Technologies on the Efficiency of Knowledge Management at Modern Organizations: A Systematic Review. *Recent Advances in Intelligent Systems and Smart Applications*, 2021, 163-182.
 7. Demir A, Budur T, Omer HM, Heshmati A. Links between knowledge management and organisational sustainability: does the ISO 9001 certification have an effect?. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 2021, 1-14.
 8. Gavrilović N, Mishra A. Software architecture of the internet of things (IoT) for smart city, healthcare and agriculture: analysis and improvement directions. *Journal of Ambient Intelligence and Humanized Computing*, 2021;12(1):1315-1336.
 9. Hoaihongthong S. Knowledge Assets and Knowledge Audits. *Journal of Information Science*, 2021, 39(1).
 10. Lee RW, Yip JY, Shek VW. Knowledge Audit. In *Knowledge Risk and its Mitigation: Practices and Cases*. Emerald Publishing Limited, 2021.
 11. Sensuse DI. Knowledge Management Systems Development and Implementation: A systematic Literature Review. In *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 012015. IOP Publishing, 2021;704:1.
 12. Chedid M, Carvalho T, Teixeira L. University-software industry collaboration: an empirical study based on knowledge management. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 2020, 1-13.
 13. Dei DJ, van der Walt TB. Knowledge management practices in universities: The role of communities of practice. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 2020;2(1):100025.
 14. Bawack R. Application of Knowledge Management in Academic Libraries: A SWOT Analysis. *Library Philosophy and Practice*, 2019;0:1-10.
 15. Bhatia P. Datamining and data warehousing: principles and practical techniques, 2019.
 16. Den Hertog P, Bilderbeek R. The new knowledge infrastructure: the role of technology-based knowledge-intensive business services innational innovation systems. In *Services and the knowledge-based economy*, Rout ledge, 2019, 222-246.

17. Ganguly A, Talukdar A, Chatterjee D. Evaluating the role of social capital, tacit knowledge sharing, knowledge equality and reciprocity indetermining innovation capability of an organization. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 2019.
18. Koochakzadeh RS, Behzadi S. The Role of KM Tactical Factors in Supply Chain Management for Gaining Competitive Advantage. *Int. JSup. Chain. Mgt Vol*,2019:8(2):836.
19. Mahdi OR, Nassar IA, Almsafir MK. Knowledge management processes and sustainable competitive advantage: An empirical examination in private universities. *Journal of Business Research*, 94, 320-334.
20. Mason MK. Knowledge Management: The Essence of the Competitive Edge, 2019. Available at <http://www.moyak.com/papers/knowledge-management.html>
21. Oliva FL, Kotabe M. Barriers, practices, method sand knowledge management tool sin startups. *Journal of knowledge management*, 2019.
22. Orenga-Roglá S, Chalmeta R. Methodology for the implementation of knowledge management systems 2.0. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*,2019:61(2):195-213.
23. Pour MJ, Asarian M. Strategic orientations, knowledge management (KM) and business performance: An exploratory study in SME using cluster in ganalysis. *Kybernetes*, 2019.
24. Rüzgar N, Yazici M. The Importance of Knowledge Management in Managerial Decision Making. *Theory and Practice in Social Sciences*, 2019, 451.
25. Sharma VK, Deb M. Knowledge management through technology: exploring extant research using n Vivo. *Theoretical Economics Letters*,2019:9(4):761-770.
26. Zaim H, Muhammed S, Tarim M. Relationship between knowledge management processes and performance: critical role of knowledge utilization in organizations. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*,2019:17(1):24-38.
27. Almeida T, de Vasconcelos JB, Pestana G. A knowledge management architecture for information technology services delivery. In 2018 13th Iberian Conference on Information Systems and Technologies (CISTI) IEEE, 2018, 1-4.
28. Anderton A, Watson K. Knowledge Management: A Technology Transfer Perspective, 2018.
29. Bamel UK, Bamel N. Organizational resources, KM process capability and strategic flexibility: a dynamic resource-capability perspective. *Journal of Knowledge Management*. Barão, A., de Vasconcelos, J.B., Rocha Á, Pereira R. A knowledge management approach to capture organization all earning networks. *International Journal of Information*, 2017-2018.
30. Barley WC, Treem JW, Kuhn T. Valuing multiple trajectories of knowledge: A critical review and agenda for knowledge management research. *Academy of Management Annals*,2018:12(1):278-317.
31. Farooq R. A conceptual model of knowledge sharing. *International Journal of Innovation Science*, 2018.
32. Frost Allan. Knowledge management tools: what is knowledge management?, 2018. <http://www.knowledge-management-tools.net/knowledge-management.html>
33. Ha ST, Lo MC. An empirical examination of knowledge management and organisational performance among Malaysian manufacturing SMEs. *International Journal of Business Innovation and Research*,2018:17(1):23-37.
34. Hajric E. Knowledge Management System and Practices-A Theoretical and Practical Guide for Knowledge Management in Your Organization. Jacksonville, Florida, USA: Helpjuice, 2018.
35. Kalyanaraman P, Anuncia SM, Balasubramanian V. An investigation on e-learning tools and techniques towards effective knowledge management. In *Knowledge Computing and its Applications* Springer, Singapore, 2018, 335-346.
36. Mishra A. Knowledge Management and Digital Era. *GST Simplified Tax System: Challenges and Remedies*,2018:1(1):151-155.
37. Brito E, Pais L, Santos NRD, Fernandes D. KM Processes in a Higher Education Organization: An Administrative Staff Perspective. In 18th European Conference on Knowledge Management (ECKM2017). Academic Conferences and publishing limited, 2017.
38. Cahyaningsiha E, Sensusea DI, Noprisson H. Multi Methods for Knowledge Management Strategy Road map of Government Human Capital Management. 4th Information Systems International Conference 2017, ISICO 2017, 6-8 November 2017, Bali, Indonesia, 2017.
39. Cerchione R, Esposito E. Using knowledge management systems: A taxonomy of SME strategies. *International Journal of Information Management*,2017:37(1):1551-1562.
40. Dayan R, Heisig P, Matos F. Knowledge management as a factor for the formulation and implementation of organization strategy. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 2017.
41. Dei D J. "Assessing knowledge management system simple mentation in Ghanaian universities." PhD diss, 2017.
42. Den Teuling CA. Crossing cultural boundaries: transfer of management knowledge and skills between organizational cultures Sheffield Hallam University (United Kingdom), 2017.
43. Frost, Allan. . Knowledge management tools: what is knowledge management? 2017. <http://www.knowledge-management-tools.net/knowledge-management.html>
44. Halawi L, McCarthy R, Aronson J. Success stories in knowledge management systems. *Issues in Information Systems*, 2017:18(1):64.